

George Marshall,  
Plaintiff  
v.  
James Cox, et al.,  
Defendants

## Order Adopting Report and Recommendation and Dismissing Case

When plaintiff George L. Marshall's mail in this case started getting returned, the court ordered him to update his address.<sup>1</sup> The court cautioned that Marshall's failure to update his address by May 9, 2019, could result in the dismissal of this case.<sup>2</sup> Marshall has failed to update his address, so Magistrate Judge Koppe recommends that I dismiss this case without prejudice.<sup>3</sup> The deadline for objections to that recommendation was June 3, 2019, and no party has filed an objection to it or moved to extend the deadline to do so. "[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed."<sup>4</sup>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation [ECF No. 38] is **ADOPTED** in full;

<sup>4</sup> *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT **this case is DISMISSED without prejudice.** The  
2 Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and CLOSE THIS CASE.

3 Dated: June 5, 2019

4   
5 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23